

The Covenant and Its Different Interpretations from Perspective of the Prophets of Israel

Moosa Nakhaee¹ khalil Hakimifar²

 MA, Department of philosophy and Religions, Faculty of Theology and Islamic Studies, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran. Email: <u>moussnakhahi52@gmail.com</u>
Corresponding Author, Assistant Professor, Department of philosophy and Religions, Faculty of Theology and Islamic Studies, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran. Email: <u>kh hakimifar@theo.usb.ac.ir</u>

Abstract

Jews in exile were relatively aware due to the numerous warnings they had received from pre-exile prophets. The appearance of eight prophets and their their teachings brought about significant chenges in the religious life of Jews. Among them, Amos, Hosea, Isaiah, and Jeremiah invited Jews to a deep understanding of God and adherence to a new ethical system and revived the covenant with clear implications. The aim of this study is to examine the interpretations and perspectives of these four prophets on the covenant using written sources, comparing and analyzing them. The findings indicate that although there is agreement in principle regarding the covenant, there are differences in interpretation among these four prophets.

Keywords: Amos, Covenant, Hosea, Isaiah, Jeremiah.

Introduction

The prophets of Israel were the messengers of salvation and the revivers of the covenant. Each of these prophets sought to explain their interpretation of the covenant; Amos explained the concept of the covenant based on divine justice, seeing it as a manifestation of God's nature, in which His wrath and punishment were inevitable consequences of His justice. In contrast, Hosea saw the covenant as being closely related to deep emotional feelings. Isaiah emphasized the sanctity of the covenant, while Jeremiah went beyond the previous prophets to emphasize the spiritual renewal of the covenant.

The covenant of the Lord with the children of Israel was based on a special relationship between them. The main mediator of this relationship was God Himself. The special position that the Lord had granted to the Jews was not based on their qualifications or merits, but rather on the fact that God had willed for them to recognize the real God instead of worshiping natural and imaginary gods, and to have a close relationship with Him. The covenant with Yahweh led to the creation of a community with special characteristics; common desires, clear goals, and coordinated will. From then on, Judaism transformed into a "people" in the true sense of the word. By "people," it is meant a community with a collective entity and unity, whose members are united in a common direction; therefore, the covenant was a social relationship with a people, not with an individual. The prophets who tirelessly tried to guide their people according to divine teachings were not against social interactions or individuals isolated from society who could not adapt themselves to



the cultural, political, economic, and religious patterns of their time. Their entire effort was to make the children of Israel aware of the consequences of violating the covenant through their teachings, warnings, and prophecies.

Research Findings

The aim of this study is to examine the interpretation of the covenant by these four prophets and to explain the similarities and differences between them in their interpretation of the covenant using written sources, especially the Old Testament, by comparing and analyzing them. The findings indicate that despite agreement in principle on the subject of covenant, there were differences in interpretation among these four prophets.

Conclusion

Overall, the interpretation of the covenant by these prophet highlights the multifaceted nature of the relationship between God and humanity. Justice, emotions, sanctity, and spiritual renewal are all important aspects of the covenant, representing different dimensions of the same divine relationship. By studying the interpretation of the covenant by these prophets, we gain a deeper understanding of the complexity and richness of the biblical tradition, as well as its ongoing relevance for contemporary religious and ethical discourse. This research contributes to a better understanding of the significance of the covenant in Judaism and its impact on the development of religious thought and ethics.

Refrences

Albright, W. F. (1940). Archaeology and the Religion of Israel, Baltmore: John Hopkins press

Anderson, Bernhard w. (1966) Understanding the Old Testament. New Jersey Prentice Hall, Inc.

Bright, John. (1965). The Anchor Bible: Jeremiah. New York: Garden City.

Childs, B. S. (1967). Isaiah and the Assyrian crisis. Studies in Biblical Theology.

Clines. J. a, David. & Davies. R. Philip. (1996). Forming Prophetic Literature Essays on Isaiah and the Twelve in Honor of John D.W. Watts. Journal for the study of the old testament Supplement series. Page 1- 329.

Dehkhoda, Ali Akbar. (1998) *Dehkhoda Dictionary*, under the entry of "Covenant". Tehran, University of tehran press. (in Persian)

Drivor, S. R. (1959). Ancient Israel. New York: Thomas Nelson & Sons.

Eichrodt. Walther (1961), *Theology of the Old Testament* (vol. 1; Philadelphia: Westminster), 13-14.

Everson, A. J., & Kim, H. C. P. (Eds.). (2009). *The desert will bloom: poetic visions in Isaiah* (No. 4). Society of Biblical Lit.

Fortman, Edmund J. (1966). *The Theology of Man and Grace: Commentary*. Milwaukee: Bruce Publishing Company.

Glenn, William and Martin, Henry (2000). *The Holy Bible: Old and New Testaments*. Translated by Fazel Hamedani. Myths press. (in Persian)

Gordon, A. R. (1903). A Study of Jeremiah. II. The Biblical World, 22(3), 195-208.

Hechel, (1962). Abraham J. The Prophets. New York: Harper & Row.

Jocz, J. (1968). The Covenant: A Theology of Human Destiny. Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B.

Kessler. John (2013), *Old Testament Theology: Divine Call and Human Response* (Waco, Tex.: Baylor University Press, 177-78, 178-179, 181).

Malcolm. Smith (2002). *The Power of the Blood Covenant- Uncover the Secret Strength in God's Eternal Oath*, Harrison House, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Mays, James L. (1969). Amos (OTL). Philadelphia: Westminster Press.

McComiskey Edward. (2009). *The Minor Prophets: An Exegetical and Expository Commentary* Hardcover, Publisher :Baker Academic – January 1, 2009. 1456 pages.

Mendenhall. George. E, (1954) "Covenant Forms in Israelite Tradition," BA 17: 50-76 (50).

Miller, William (1981). A General History of the Christian Church in the Roman Empire and Iran. Translated by Ali Nakhastin, Tehran, Eternal Life Publications. (in Persian)

Miller. Robert D. (2012), Covenant and Grace in the Old Testament: Assyrian Propaganda and Israelite Faith (PHSC 16; Piscataway, N.J.: Gorgias Press LLC), 1.

Palmer, P. F. (1972). Christian marriage: Contract or covenant?. Theological studies, 33(4), 617-665.

Pourahmad, Mohammad, and Hakimifar, Khalil. (2019) *Covenant in the Quran and New Testament*. Journal of Religions and Mysticism, 51(2), 203-227. doi: 10.22059/jrm.2019.268763.629839. (in Persian)

Sadr al-Muta'allihin (1996). *Al-Shawahid al-Rububiyya*, translated and interpreted by Jawad Musleh, 2nd edition, Tehran. (in Persian)

Tabatabai, Seyyed Mohammad Hossein (2005). *Tafsir al-Mizan*, translated by Mohammad Bagher Hamedani, Qom, Society of Seminary Teachers of Qom. (in Persian)

ven Rad,(1967) Gerhard. *Old Testament Theology*, vols. I & II. New York: Harper & Row, Publishers.

Winward, Stephen F.(1960). A Guide to the Prophets. Virginia., John Knox Press.

Wolff, H. W., & Stansell, G. (1974). A Commentary on the Book of the Prophet Hosea.@unpublished{Wolff1974-WOLACO-4

Yardon Sees (2001), *Encyclopedia of the Bible*, translated by Bahram Mohammadian, Tehran: Ruz-e No press. (in Persian)



Cite this article: Nakhaee, M., & Hakimifar, K. (2024). The Covenant and Its Different Interpretations from Perspective of the Prophets of Israel. *Religions and Mysticism*, 56 (2), 287-307. (in Persian)

Publisher: University of Tehran Press. © The Author(s). DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.22059/jrm.2023.364085.630466</u>



Article Type: Research Paper Received: 20-Aug-2023 Received in revised form: 28-Nov-2023 Accepted: 12-Dec-2023 Published online: 16-Mar-2024